arXiv:1612.02974v1 [math.MG] 9 Dec 2016

ON THE ENTROPY OF HILBERT GEOMETRIES OF LOW REGULARITIES

JAN CRISTINA AND LOUIS MERLIN

Abstract. We prove that the Hilbert geometry for a n-dimensional convex domain of regularity $W^{2,p}$ has entropy $n-1$ for $p \geq n-1$, which reduces the hypotheses of a previous result [BBV10]. We furthermore show that two dimensional domains whose associated curvature measure is Ahlfors α-regular have entropy $2\alpha/(\alpha+1)$.

1. Introduction

Hilbert geometry.

To define a Hilbert geometry, we need a convex compact subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or $\mathbb{RP}^n$). Then we construct a distance in the interior of the convex set using the birapport.

Precisely, take two points p and q in $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega)$. The compactness and convexity show that there exist two uniquely determined points a and b on $\partial\Omega$ such that a, p, q, b are aligned in this order. We set

$$d_\Omega(p,q) = \frac{1}{2}\left|\log \frac{|q-a|\,|p-b|}{|p-a|\,|q-b|}\right|.$$



Figure 1. Hilbert metric

Remark 1. Throughout this text, we will use the notation $|\cdot|$ for refering to Euclidean lenghts, norms or volumes and $\|\cdot\|$ will be used for the Finslerian quantities.

When Ω is an ellipsoid, we construct the Klein model for hyperbolic geometry. For any other case, the distance is not even Riemannian ([Kay67]). However it is Finslerian, infinitesimally generated by the field of norms $(\|\cdot\|_x)_{x\in\Omega}$ given by

$$\|v\|_x = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{t_1}+\frac{1}{t_2}\right)$$

where t_1 and t_2 are positive real numbers such that $x+t_1v$ and $x-t_2v$ meet the boundary of Ω.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51M10, 53C60, 51F99, 28A75, 28A80.



FIGURE 2. Finslerian structure

The couple (Ω, d_Ω) is called a Hilbert geometry. This class of metric spaces has been extensively studied starting by D. Hilbert himself in his search of geometries for which all the geodesics are straight lines. The book of A. Papadopoulos and M. Troyanov [PT14] offers a great overview of the topic.

Entropy.

In this context of Hilbert geometries, the main goal of this article is to study an invariant, the *volume growth entropy*. The volume entropy of a metric measured space (X, d, μ) is the exponential asymptotic growth rate of volume of balls when the radius goes to infinity. Precisely, it is the real number defined as the limit (when exists) of

$$\frac{\log(\mu(B(x, R)))}{R}$$

(it is independent of the choice of a basepoint x). It is known to be a powerful invariant. When (X, d) is a Riemannian manifold and μ is the Riemannian volume, it has been used several times to capture a lot of informations about the ambient geometry (see for instance [BCG95]).

Returning to the context of a Hilbert geometry (Ω, d_Ω) given by a convex $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, one of the common issue is that the volume is not canonically defined. However we can isolate axioms for a notion of *appropriate volume* ([ÁPT04] for which the entropy does not depend on the choice of an appropriate volume. In this paper, we compute volumes with the so-called Hausdorff measure. Let us define it.

We consider the function σ on Ω given by

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{\omega_n}{\mathcal{L}(B_x)}$$

where ω_n is the measure of the unit Euclidean ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lebesgue measure and B_x is the Finslerian unit ball. Finally the Hausdorff measure μ is the measure in the Lebesgue class, the density being given by σ, i.e:

$$\mu(A) = \int_A \sigma(x) d\mathcal{L}(x)$$

for any Borel set A. The density σ is called the Busemann function.

For a general Hilbert geometry, the quantity $\frac{\log(\mu(B(x, R)))}{R}$ does not converge in general when R goes to infinity ([Ver12] corollary 4), so we consider lower and upper entropies:

$$\underline{h}(K) = \liminf_{R\to\infty} \frac{\log(\mu(B(x, R)))}{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{h}(K) = \limsup_{R\to\infty} \frac{\log(\mu(B(x, R)))}{R}.$$

For instance, when K is an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\underline{h}(K) = \overline{h}(K) = n - 1.$$

The notation h implicitly means that $\dfrac{\log(\mu(B(x,R)))}{R}$ converges.

The volume entropy of Hilbert geometries has been studied by various authors. For instance it has been recently proved by N. Tholozan in [Tho15] that the entropy of Hilbert geometries never exceed the hyperbolic entropy. This result has been known in dimension 2 and 3 since the work of C. Vernicos in [Ver12] and in the case of divisible convex sets thanks to a result of M. Crampon in [Cra09]. It is known that the entropy can take any value ([Ver12] corollary 4) and it has been precisely computed in many cases: for instance the entropy vanishes for convex polytopes [CVV11] and it is extremal as soon as the convex set is sufficiently regular. Actually the latter statement is the starting point of this paper, let us make it precise:

Theorem 2 (First main theorem in [BBV10])**.** *Suppose the boundary of the convex set is a hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of regularity $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$. Then the entropy exists and*

$$h(K) = n - 1.$$

Question.

The question we would like to address in this paper is suggested by the previous result and is the following

Question 3. *Can we find a relation between the regularity of the boundary of a convex domain and the value of its Finslerian entropy ?*

In particular, is the entropy forced to decrease whenever we lower the regularity or can we even lower the regularity $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ and still get a maximal value for the entropy.

Of course this question is only interesting in the case of low regularity convex sets.

Results.

In this paper we propose two types of answer for the previous question. We first investigate the least regularity that ensures that the entropy is forced to be maximal.

Theorem 4 (First main theorem)**.** *Let C be a convex relatively compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that the boudary is parametrized by a map $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^n \to \partial C$ which belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{2,p} \cap C^1(S^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, for $p \geq n-1$. Then*

$$h(C) = n - 1.$$

This statement weakens the assumptions of theorem 2 since a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ map is in particular in $\mathcal{W}^{2,\infty}$. Note that the behaviour of entropy for $\mathcal{W}^{2,p}$ boundaries for $p < n-1$ is still completely open.

A second part of this paper deals with the 2-dimensional case where the second weak derivative is a α-Ahlfors regular measure. In fact, the maximality of the entropy is a very dominating phenomena (as soon as there is a small part of the boundary which is $\mathcal{C}^2$ with positive Gauss curvature, the entropy of the whole convex equals $n-1$, see [CV04]). Here we want to investigate the case of $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ boundaries but we need a class of maps that prevent the boundary from beeing more regular: this is roughly the definition of Ahlfors regularity (see section 2 for a precise definition and some usefull facts). Precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 5 (Second main theorem)**.** *Let C be a convex relatively compact set of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and suppose the boundary is parametrized by the cumulative function of a α-Ahlors regular map. Then*

$$h = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha + 1}.$$

Outline of the proof and plan of the paper.

All the proofs have an intense flavour of geometric measure theory. We first collect some usefull facts in the following preliminary section. The rest of the paper is splitted into two independent parts, each of which is devoted to the proof of one of the main theorems.

For the first one, we first need to extend the definition of the centro-projective area introduced in [BBV10] for less regular convex sets. We then follow the general approach of their proof. New difficulties arise for parametrizations in Sobolev spaces. In particular, we need to carefully explain the relation between the Busemann function and the centro-projective area and show that the (renormalized) Busemann function converges when the point is approaching the boundary to some quantity related to the (weak) curvature of the boundary (this is the key lemma 17 in section 3). We deduce that the (normalized) volume of balls converge to the centro-projective area using the Lebesque dominated convergence theorem. We check the domination hypothesis using the theory of maximal functions.

The last part of this work is more substantial. We proceed as follow. We first compute the entropy of the standard Cantor domain obtained with the so-called Cantor-Lebesgue function that we "wrap" around to produce a convex set. Then we take any α-Ahlfors regular map and we compare the entropy to the one of the regular Cantor set. One of the main ingredient is a result of [MS09] relating the supports of the derivative measures by a bi-Lipschitz map. The comparison between the entropy of the standard Cantor domain and a generic one is only possible when the bilipscitz map is order-preserving. We generalise the theorem of [MS09] (Theorem 25 in section 4.3), to produces such a map.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to acknowledge Constantin Vernicos, and Marc Troyanov for helpful discussions.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **What is a Sobolev-regular convex set ?** We clarify the definition of $W^{2,p}$ convex domain Ω (via charts) and show that the definition is equivalent to requiring that the boundary can be parametrized by a map

$$\varphi_\Omega : S^{n-1} \to \partial\Omega,$$

of class $W^{2,p}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, which we define to be the space of measurable functions $f : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ whose restriction to coordinate chart $\varphi : U \subset S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are in $W^{2,p}(\varphi(U), \mathbb{R}^n)$.

We say a domain Ω has regularity $W^{2,p}$ for $p > (n-1)$ if for every $x \in \partial\Omega$ there is an $n-1$ dimensional plane P through x, and an open subset U of $\partial\Omega$ containing x such that U is given by the graph of a function $f \in W^{2,p}(U')$, where U' is the projection of U onto a tangent plane of a point $x \in U$.

The space $W^{2,p}(S^{n-1})$ provides a good class of functions in $C^{1,\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1-n/p$, and so in order to assess the relationship between entropy and regularity is easy to study.

We define the *barycenter* of a domain Ω as the center of mass of the boundary

$$\mathrm{bc}(\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} x\, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(x)/\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\partial\Omega).$$

Projecting the unit sphere around the barycenter onto $\partial\Omega$ gives a map.

$$\varphi_\Omega : S^{n-1} \to \partial\Omega$$

which takes $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ to the point on $\partial\Omega$ in the direction θ from $\mathrm{bc}(\Omega)$ If Ω is a bounded convex domain then $\mathrm{bc}(\Omega)$ exists as does φ_Ω.

Lemma 6. *Let $p \geq n-1$. The bounded convex domain Ω is $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$ regular if and only if the map*

$$\varphi_\Omega : S^{n-1} \to \partial\Omega,$$

is in the space $W^{2,p}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof: The map φ can be identified with the map $\rho : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ for which

$$\varphi(\theta) = \rho(\theta)\theta + \mathrm{bc}(\Omega).$$

Then $\varphi \in W^{2,p}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^n$ is equivalent to $\rho \in W^{2,p}(S^{n-1})$.

Let $h : U \subset T_x\partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\{x + z - n(x)h(z) \mid z \in T_x\partial\Omega\} \subset \partial\Omega$. Let x_0 denote the barycenter of Ω. Then by assumption h is $W^{2,p} \cap C^1(U)$. Consider the map

$$\Phi : z \mapsto \frac{z + x - h(z)n(x) - x_0}{|z + x - h(z)n(x) - x_0|},$$

which takes z to $\partial\Omega$ along $n(x)$, and then radially to the unit sphere centered at x_0. This map is C^1, as it is a quotient of C^1 functions. It is also $W^{2,p}$ because it is a product of $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$ functions and $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$ is an algebra [Lew71]. Let $\zeta = z + x - h(z)n(x)$. Consider the derivative

$$D\Phi_z = \frac{Id - n(x)dh(z)}{|z + x - h(z)n(x) - x_0|} - \frac{(z + x - h(z)n(x) - x_0)}{|z + x - h(z)n(x) - x_0|} \frac{\langle z + x - h(z)n(x) - x_0, Id - n(x)dh(z)\rangle}{|z + x - h(z)n(x) - x_0|}.$$

This is the composition of this inverse of projection from $T_\zeta\partial\Omega \to T_x\partial\Omega$ and the projection $T_\zeta\partial\Omega$ to $T_{(\zeta-x_0)/|\zeta-x_0|}S^{n-1}$ but because the line from x_0 to ζ is transverse to $\partial\Omega$ at ζ this projection is invertible. Consequently Φ^{-1} is locally C^1. Finally let $F = \eta \circ \Phi$ where η is a smooth chart. Then we have deduced that $F^{-1} : U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to T_x\partial\Omega$ is locally C^1. Now consider the formal calculation

$$D(F^{-1})(x) = (DF)^{-1}(F^{-1}(x)),$$

which is a composition of continuous functions, so is continuous. And

$$D_X D(F^{-1})(x) = DF^{-1}_{F^{-1}(x)}(D_{DF_x^{-1}X} DF_{F^{-1}(x)})DF^{-1}_{F^{-1}(x)}$$

where we have used the fact

$$D(A^{-1}(x)) = A^{-1}(x)DA(x)A^{-1}(x).$$

Now we can see (because the change of variables is at least a C^1 diffeomorphism) and the matrices DF and DF^{-1} are continuous (and hence bounded) that this is bounded by $|D^2F|$. This is valid for any C^2 approximation of F, and so passing to the limit is valid for F [Eva98, Chap. 5]. And so if $|D^2F| \in L^p(T_x\partial\Omega)$ then $D^2(F^{-1})$ is locally in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Finally the map φ is the composition of $z \mapsto z+x-h(z)n(x)$ and Φ^{-1} which are both $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$ and furthermore Φ is a $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$ diffeomorphism. Hence the composition is $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$. □

2.2. **The curvature measure and the centro-projective area for the Sobolev regularity.** Let Ω be a convex compact set. Convex domains naturally have a great deal of regularity. They are automatically Lipschitz regular, and twice differentiable almost everywhere but in addition we can define a set valued Gauss map for the boundary of any domain. For every $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we consider the halfspace

$$H(x,n) = \{y : \langle x, n\rangle \leq \langle n, y\rangle\}$$

and then we set

$$G(x) = \left\{\in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \text{ such that } H(x,n) \cap \operatorname{int}(\Omega) = \emptyset\right\}.$$

This allows us to define a Gauss curvature measure on $\partial\Omega$ by

$$\kappa(A) = \mu(\bigcup_{x\in A} G(x)).$$

For a C^2 domain the measure corresponds with the change of variables formula

$$\kappa(A) = \int_A \det DG(x)\, dx.$$

Already the C^2 assumption implies that the entropy of a domain $n-1$. In [BBV10] this supposition was weakened to $C^{1,1}$. If one weakens the asumption to $W^{2,p}$ for $p \geq n-1$, we still have a well defined Gauss curvature. Indeed, the determinant of the Gauss map $\det DG$ belongs to L^1 because $p > n-1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$.

Assume now that the origin o of $\mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to Ω (this is no restriction) and let $a : \partial\Omega \to \partial\Omega$ be the positive function such $-a(p)p \in \partial\Omega$ (see the introduction of [BBV10]). For instance if o is a center of symmetry of Ω, then a is just the constant 1. The letter a stands for antipodal function. We can now extend the definition of the centro-projective area in the following way.

Definition 7 (centro-projective area)**.**

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{2a\sqrt{\det DG(x)}}{((1+a)\langle n(x), x\rangle)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} d\mathcal{L}(x)$$

where $n(x)$ is the outer normal at x of Ω.

Lemma 8. *Let Ω be a convex domain of class $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$ for $p \geq n-1$. Then the centro-projective area is nonzero*

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) > 0.$$

Proof: The goal is to show that the Gauss map G is of class $W^{1,n-1} \cap C^0$. In this case by the change of variables formula [IM01, Theorem 6.3.2] yields

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \det DG(\theta)\, d\theta = |S^{n-1}|.$$

Thus $\det DG$ is nonzero on a set of positive measure. Furthermore, by convexity and Alexandrov's theorem on twice differentiability $\det DG$ is non-negative almost everywhere. Consequently so is $\sqrt{\det DG}$, and hence $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) > 0$.

To see that G is in $C^0 \cap W^{1,n-1}$ we note that locally the map let $\psi : \psi^{-1}(U) \subset S^{n-1} \to U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a coordinate chart. Let $\Phi : U \to \partial\Omega$ be the map $x \mapsto (\varphi \circ \psi(x))\psi(x)$. By Lemma 6 it is of class $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$. Consequently for an orthonormal frame of vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_n$ the function

$$D_x\Phi(X_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge D_x\Phi(X_{n-1}),$$

is of class $C^0 \cap W^{1,n-1}$ as is

$$x \mapsto \star(D_x\Phi(X_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge D_x\Phi(X_{n-1}))/|D_x\Phi(X_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge D_x\Phi(X_{n-1})|,$$

because $C^0 \cap W^{1,n-1}$ is an algebra [Lew71, Theorem 2.1]. But this is the Gauss map. □

2.3. **Some general facts about Busemann functions.** Let us start by an elementary fact.

Lemma 9. *Let S be a bounded star-shaped domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with 0 as a star and with a $\mathbb{C}^1$ boundary. Hence there is a parametrization of S given by*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Phi : & B(0,1) & \longrightarrow & S \\ & x & \longmapsto & x\varphi(\frac{x}{|x|}) \end{array}$$

where φ is a real valued function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then

$$\mathrm{Vol}(S) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(x)^n dx$$

This will be very usefull for computing the Euclidean area of a Finslerian ball (hence evaluationg the Busemann function).

For the rest of this paragraph, we now suppose that there exists an R such that $\partial\Omega$ is a graph over $B(p,R) \cap T_p\partial\Omega$ of height at most λ_0 for every $p \in \partial\Omega$. This follows from C^1 regularity of the boundary (and hence uniform continuity of the derivative. Let $\varphi_p : T_p\partial\Omega \to \partial\Omega$ be this function. We denote by $n(p)$ the inner normal to the boundary at p. We also consider $h_p = \langle \varphi_p, n(p)\rangle - \langle p, n(p)\rangle$.

Let $\Omega_{p,\lambda}$ denote the set $\{y \in \Omega, \langle y, n(p)\rangle \geq \lambda\langle p, n(p)\rangle\}$ for $\lambda \leq 1$ and $p \in \partial\Omega$.

By C^1 regularity we have that for every $\alpha > 0$ there is λ_0, such that the cone $C_{\alpha,p} = \{y \mid \langle p - y, n(p)\rangle \geq \alpha|y - p|\} \subset \Omega_{p,\lambda_0}$

Lemma 10. *Let λ_0 be small enough such that the cone $C_{\alpha,p}$ is contained in Ω_{p,λ_0} for some $\alpha \leq \langle p/|p|, n(p)\rangle/2$, and every p. Then there is a number C which depends on the C^1 norm of $\partial\Omega$ such that for every p and every $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$, $\sigma(\lambda p) \leq C\sigma((1-\lambda)p - \langle(1-\lambda)p, n(p)\rangle n(p))$*

Proof: Let $q_1 = \lambda p$ and let q_2 be in the normal direction to $T_p\partial\Omega$:

$$q_2 = (1-\lambda)p - \langle(1-\lambda)p, n(p)\rangle n(p).$$

Let c be a positive number such that for every $p \in \partial\Omega$ $B(q_1, (\lambda)c) \subset C_{\alpha,p} \cap \Omega_{p,\lambda}$ and $B(q_2, (\lambda)c \subset C_{\alpha,p} \cap \Omega_{p,\lambda}$, and hence both balls are contained in Ω. The exixtence of such a c is given by the fact that we can fit a cone inside Ω_{p,λ_0}.

Now for any $q \in \partial\Omega \cap \Omega_{p,\lambda}$,

$$|q - q_1| \leq |q - q_2| + |q_1 - q_2|$$

And so

$$\frac{|q-q_1|}{|q-q_2|} \leq 1 + \frac{|q_1-q_2|}{|q-q_2|},$$

But because we can fit balls of radius $c(\lambda)$ around both q_i, and because $|q_1 - q_2| \leq |\lambda| \sup_p | < n(p), p > \leq |\lambda|$, it follows that there is a C' such that

$$\frac{|q-q_1|}{|q-q_2|} \leq C' \text{ and similarly } \frac{|q-q_2|}{|q-q_1|} \leq C'.$$

Now consider the half spheres $S^+_{n(p)}(q_i) \subset T_{q_i}\Omega$ $(i = 1, 2)$ defined by

$$S^+_{n(p)}(q_i) = \{v \in T_{q_i} \ \|v\| = 1 \text{ and } \langle v, n(p)\rangle \leqslant 0\}.$$

To compare the Busemann density at the points q_1 and q_2, we introduce the change of variables $S^+_{n(p)}(q_1) \to S^+_{n(p)}(q_2)$ obtained by first mapping v to its projection (through q_1) on the boundary of Ω and then project it onto the Euclidean unit sphere centered at q_2. We now show that this change of variables is bi-Lipschitz.

Let v_1 and v_2 be two elements in T_{q_1}. Let $\gamma : [0, \theta] \to S^+_{n(p)}(q_1)$ be the great arc connecting v_1 and v_2. Let π_1 be the projection from the unit sphere centered at q_1, to $\partial\Omega$ and let π_2 that from q_2. Consequently, if $\eta = \pi_1 \circ \gamma$, we have

$$\gamma = \frac{\eta - q_1}{|\eta - q_1|}$$

and

$$\pi_2^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \gamma = \frac{\eta - q_2}{|\eta - q_2|}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot\gamma| &= \sqrt{\frac{|\dot\eta|^2}{|\eta - q_1|} + \frac{\langle \dot\eta, \eta - q_1\rangle^2}{|\eta - q_1|^4} - 2\frac{|\eta - q_1|^2\langle \eta - q_2, \dot\eta\rangle^2}{|\eta - q_1|^6}} \\ &= \frac{|\dot\eta|}{|\eta - q_1|^2}\sqrt{|\eta - q_1|^2 - \langle \dot\eta/|\dot\eta|, \eta - q_1\rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that $\sqrt{|\eta - q_1|^2 - \langle \dot\eta/|\dot\eta|, \eta - q_1\rangle}$ is the norm of $\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(q_1 - \eta)$ ($\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}$ denotes the orthogonal projection on the hyperplane perpendicular to $\dot\eta^\perp$). The vector $q_1 - \Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(q_1 - \eta)$ is in the tangent hyperplane at η, hence $\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(q_1 - \eta)$ has a norm greater than $c\lambda$ (the size of the ball we can fit around q_1). Now the key point is that $|(q_1 - q_2)| \leq |\lambda|$. We can apply a similar argument to bound $\frac{d}{dt}\pi_2^{-1} \circ \eta$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left|\frac{d}{dt}\pi_2^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \gamma\right|}{|\dot\gamma|} &= \frac{|\eta - q_1|^2}{|\eta - q_2|^2}\frac{|\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(\eta - q_2)|}{|\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(\eta - q_1)|} \\ &\leqslant \frac{|\eta - q_1|^2}{|\eta - q_2|^2}\frac{|\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(\eta - q_1)|}{|\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(\eta - q_1)|} + \frac{|\eta - q_1|^2}{|\eta - q_2|^2}\frac{|\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(q_1 - q_2)|}{|\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(\eta - q_1)|} \\ &\leqslant C'^2 + \frac{|\eta - q_1|^2}{|\eta - q_2|^2}\frac{|q_1 - q_2)|}{|\Pi_{\dot\eta^\perp}(\eta - q_1)|} \\ &\leqslant C'^2 + \frac{C'^2}{c}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by C, the number $C'^2 + \frac{C'^2}{c}$. Hence

$$d(\pi_2^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \gamma(\theta), \pi_2^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \gamma(0)) \leq C d(\gamma(\theta), \gamma(0)).$$

But by a similar argument

$$d(\pi_2^{-1}\circ\pi_1\circ\gamma(\theta),\pi_2^{-1}\circ\pi_1\circ\gamma(0))\geq C^{-1}d(\gamma(\theta),\gamma(0)).$$

Finally we open up $\sigma(q_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma((1-\lambda)p)/\omega_n\\
&=\left(2\int_{S^+_{n(p)}(q_1)}\left(\frac{|\varphi_{q_1}(v)||\varphi_{q_1}(-v)|}{|\varphi_{q_1}(v)|+|\varphi_{q_1}(-v)|}\right)^n\,dv\right)^{-1}\\
&\leqslant C^{2(n-1)}\left(\int_{S^+_{n(p)}(q_2)}\left(\frac{|\varphi_{q_2}(\pi_2^{-1}\circ\pi_1(v))||\varphi_{q_2}(\pi_2^{-1}\circ\pi_1(-v)|}{|\varphi_{q_2}(\pi_2^{-1}\circ\pi_1(v)|+|\varphi_{q_2}(\pi_2^{-1}\circ\pi_1(-v)|}\right)^n\,dv\right)^{-1}\\
&\leqslant C^{3n-2}\left(\int_{S^+_{n(p)}(q_2)}\left(\frac{|\varphi_{q_2}(w)|\varphi_{q_2}(-w)|}{|\varphi_{q_2(w)}|+|\varphi_{q_2}(-w)|}\right)^n\,dw\right)^{-1}\\
&\leqslant C^{3n-2}\sigma(q_2)/\omega_n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 11. *Let Ω be a convex set, Let y be a point on the boundary of Ω, with outer normal $n(x)$. Let φ_λ be the projection of the unit ball centered at $x+\lambda n(x)$ to the boundary of Ω. Assume $|\varphi(v)|\leq C|\varphi(-v)|$ for $v\in S^+_{n(x)}(x)$. Then*

$$\sigma(x-\lambda n(x))\leq (C+1)|\Omega_{x,\lambda}|$$

.

Proof: We note that

$$|\Omega_{x,\lambda}|=\int_{S^+_{n(x)}}|\varphi_\lambda(v)|^n\,dv,$$

$$\sigma(x-\lambda n(x))=\left(2\int_{S^+_{n(x)}(x)}\left(\frac{|\varphi(v)||\varphi(-v)|}{|\varphi(v)|+|\varphi(-v)|}\right)^n\,dv\right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\frac{|\varphi(v)||\varphi(-v)|}{|\varphi(v)|+|\varphi(-v)|}\geq\frac{|\varphi(v)||\varphi(-v)|}{(C+1)|\varphi(-v)|}\geq|\varphi(v)|/(C+1).$$

□

2.4. **Ahlfors regularity and Cantor-Lebesgue domains.**
Ahlfors regularity.

Definition 12. (1) Let (X,d,μ) be a metric measure space. We say that it is α-Ahlfors regular if there exists a constant C such that, for any $x\in X$,

$$\frac{1}{C}r^\alpha\leqslant\mu(B(x,r))\leqslant Cr^\alpha.$$

(2) Let $\varphi:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ be a continuous map and let μ be its derivative in the sense of distributions, seen as a measure. We denote by C the support of this measure. We say that φ is α-Ahlfors regular if $(C,|\cdot|,\mu)$ is α-Ahlfors regular.

Lemma 13. *Let φ be a continuous function, μ its derivative and C the support of μ. The function φ is α-Ahlfors regular if and only if, for any $s, t \in C$*

$$\frac{1}{C}\,|s-t|^{\alpha} \leqslant |\varphi(s)-\varphi(t)| \leqslant C\,|s-t|^{\alpha}\,.$$

This lemma explains why we claimed in the introduction that α-Ahlfors regular maps are thought as maps of regularity $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ which are not more regular.

Proof: This follows from the very definition of the derivated measure:

$$\mu([s,t]) = |\varphi(s)-\varphi(t)|\,.$$

□

Throughout the rest of this section we fix a parameter $p \in]2, +\infty[$. We describe the construction and the usefull properties of the standard Cantor-Lebesgue domains Ω_p. In particular we show that the weak second derivative is supported on a $\frac{\log 2}{\log p}$-regular set.

Construction of Cantor-Lebesgue domains. The Cantor-Lebesgue map is constructed by an induction process. Let us define inductively a sequence of maps $f_n : [0,1] \to [0,1]$. We start with $f_0 =$id. Suppose that f_n has been constructed. To define f_{n+1} out of f_n we consider every maximal interval $I = [a,b]$ on which f_n has a positive derivative. We cut I into three pieces of size $\frac{|I|}{p}$, $\frac{(p-2)|I|}{p}$ and $\frac{|I|}{p}$ respectively. We then replace f_n on the central segment by a constant value $\frac{f_n(b)-f_n(a)}{2}$ and we extend this value on the central segment so that f_{n+1} is a continuous affine map (see picture below).



(A) Step 0 (B) Step 1 (C) Step 2

FIGURE 3. The sequence (f_n)

The following facts are well known:

Lemma 14. (1) *The sequence (f_n) converges uniformly to a continuous map f.*
(2) *The limit map f is $\frac{\log 2}{\log p}$-Hölder continuous.*

Proof: Let $\alpha = \log 2/\log p$. We note that $|f_n - f_{n-1}| \leq 2^{-n}\frac{p-2}{p}$. Hence the f_n form a uniform Cauchy sequence of continuous maps, converging to some function f. Now suppose $p^{-k-1} \leq |x-y| \leq p^{-k}$. Consider

$$|f(x)-f(y)| \leq |f_k(x)-f_k(y)| + 2\cdot 2^{1-k}\frac{p-2}{p}.$$

Now the biggest gap of two points separated by distance p^{-k} for f_k is 2^{-k} consequently

$$|f_k(x) - f_k(y)| \leq 2^{-k}.$$

Consequently

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 5 \cdot 2^{-k} \leq 10p^{-\alpha(k+1)} \leq 10|x-y|^{\alpha}.$$

□

A probability measure on the Cantor set. The function f is continous and, as such, it has a weak derivative. It is a Borel measure μ given by

$$\mu([a,b]) = f(b) - f(a),$$

Since (f_n) converges uniformly, we can also view μ as the limit of the sequence (μ_n) of derivatives of the f_n's. The supports of the measures μ_n are decreasing. Then

$$\mathrm{Supp}(\mu) = \bigcap_n \mathrm{Supp}(\mu_n)$$

is a Cantor set.

Lemma 15. *The measure μ is $\frac{\log 2}{\log p}$-Ahlfors regular.*

Proof: By virtue of being Hölder continuous, we have the upper bound Ahlfors regularity. To prove the lower bound, let $x \in C_p$; it can be written as the countable intersection of closed intervals $\{x\} = \bigcap_n I_{n,k_n}$ of size p^{-n}. By construction the measure μ applied to any Cantor interval of size p^{-n} yields 2^{-n}. Hence for $2p^{-n} \leq r < 2p^{1-n}$ we have

$$\mu(B(x,r)) \geq 2^{-n} \geq (2p)^{-\alpha} r^{\alpha}.$$

With lemma 13 above, this also shows that f is $\frac{\log 2}{\log p}$-Ahlfors regular. □

Now we can explicitly construct the domains. Consider the map $e^{i\pi f}$ This gives a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^2$. If we define $\gamma(t) = \int_0^t e^{i\pi f}$, this a curve. If we take a second copy and rotate by π around the point $(\gamma(0) + \gamma(1))/2$, we obtain a the boundary of a set, which is convex by the monotonicity of f. This set is the *Cantor-Lebesgue domain*.

3. Centro-projective area for the Sobolev class

The point of this section is to prove the first main theorem:

Theorem 16. *Let Ω be an open convex relatively compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the boundary has a regularity $W^{2,p} \cap C^1$ for some $p \geq n-1$. Then the Finsler volume growth entropy of Ω is maximal, equal to $n-1$*

Let us first remark that we have the Sobolev embedding theorem stating that the boundary has also regularity $\mathcal{C}^1$, so that lemma 10 can be applied in this situation.

This statement echoes the main result of [BBV10] for which the regularity assumption is $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ (hence $\mathcal{W}^{2,\infty}$). In fact the proof largely follows their proof: there are two crucial steps in the proof of the main theorem of [BBV10] that need to be worked out in this context. Precisely we want to show that

(1) As a point $p \in \Omega$ approaches the boundary, the Busemann density (suitably renormalized) converges almost everywhere to $\frac{2a\sqrt{\det DG(p)}}{((1+a)\langle n(p),p\rangle)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}$. This will be achieved in lemma 17.

(2) We then want to use this convergence to replace the volume of balls by the centro-projective area (i.e use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem). This requires a bound of the Busemann density by a dominating L^1 function. This will use the technology of maximal functions and will be achieved in lemma 19.

Both of the lemmas 17 and 19 will follow from general considerations on the Busemann function (see paragraph 2.3).

Lemma 17. *For almost every $y \in B(x,R)$*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 1}\sigma(\lambda p)(1-\lambda)^{(n+1)/2} = \frac{\sqrt{k(p)}}{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\langle p, n(p)\rangle}.$$

Proof: We use Alexandrov's theorem on the almost everywhere second differentiability of convex functions [Ale39] [BCP96], to yield for almost every $y \in B(x,R)$

$$\frac{1}{t^2}|h_y(t\theta) - -t^2D^2h_y(0)(\theta,\theta)| \to 0$$

as $t \to 0$, and $h_y : T_y\partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is the height of function so that $\{y+z-n(y)h(z) \mid z \in T_y\partial\Omega\}$ is a subset of $\partial\Omega$ (*n.b.* $h_y(0) = 0$). The bilinear form $D^2h_y(0)$ is positive semidefinite, and given almost everywhere by the weak derivative [HKM06]. It has principal values $r_1, \dots r_{n-1}$ which are the principal curvatures, with principal directions $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$ which form an orthonormal basis. For $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a t_0 such that for every $t < t_0$.

$$\frac{1}{t^2}|h_y(t\theta) - -t^2D^2h_y(0)(\theta,\theta)| \le \varepsilon,$$

so

$$[D^2h_y(0) - \varepsilon I](\theta,\theta) \le h_y(t\theta) \le [D^2h_y(0) + \varepsilon I](\theta,\theta).$$

This implies that the parabolas

$$P_{y,\varepsilon,\lambda} := \{y + z - tn(y) \mid (D^2h_y(0) + \varepsilon I)(\zeta,\zeta) \le t \le \lambda,$$

satisfy

$$P_{y,\varepsilon,\lambda} \subset \Omega_{y,\lambda} \subset P_{y,-\varepsilon,\lambda}.$$

Finally we can proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.8 in [BBV10]. □

We now consider a map $h_x : B(x,R) \subset T_x\partial\Omega \to \partial\Omega$.
Suppose that the restriction of h_x to the line $t \mapsto y + t\theta$ is $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$(y + t\theta) + h_x(y + t\theta)n(x)$$

$$= y + t\theta - n(x)h(y) - tn(x)Dh_x(y)\cdot\theta - n(x)\int_0^t\int_0^\tau \theta^tD^2h_x(y + s\theta)\theta\, ds\, d\tau.$$

Lemma 18. *Let $\{\theta_i \mid \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable dense set of directions in $S^{n-2} \subset T_x\partial\Omega$. There is a set $E \subset B(x,R)$ of full measure such that for every $y \in E$ the map $f_{y,i} : t \mapsto h(y + t\theta_i)$ is in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R})$, $f_{y,i}$ is twice differentiable at $t = 0$ and*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0}f_{y,i} = \theta_i^tD^2h(y)\theta_i. \tag{1}$$

Proof: This follows from the absolutely continuous on lines characterisation of Sobolev functions *cf.* [HKM06]. For every direction we have a set $E_i' \subset B(x,R)\cap\theta_i^\perp$ such that the map $t \mapsto h(y+t\theta_i$ is in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R})$ by Fubini, and for almost every t the second derivative is given by the weak second derivative of h in the direction θ_i. Let E_i be the set

$$E_i = \{y + t\theta_i \in B(x,R) \mid y \in E_i' \text{ and } s \mapsto h(y+s\theta_i) \text{is twice differentiable at } t \text{ with weak derivative } \theta_i^t D^2h(y+t\theta_i)\theta_i\}.$$

Finally $E = \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} E_i$. □

Lemma 19. *Assuming Ω is a domain of class $C^1 \cap W^{2,p}$ for $p \geq (n-1)$, $p > 1$ then there is a function $f \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ such that*

$$(1-\lambda)^{(n+1)/2}\sigma(\lambda p) \leq f(p)$$

for all $\lambda \leq 1 - \lambda_0$.

Proof: Let $\theta_1, \dots \theta_{n-1}$ be an orthonormal basis for $T_x\Omega K$. Define the set E_i to be the set of y such that $h_x|_{y+t\theta_i}$ is in $W^{2,p}(]a,b[)$. Again E_i is of full measure. Let $E = \bigcap_i E_i$.

For every i define the directional maximal function.

$$M_i(f)(y) = \sup_{t\leq R/2} \frac{1}{2t}\int_{-t}^{t} |f(y+s\theta_i)|\, ds$$

This is bounded from $L^p(B(x,R)) \to L^p(B(x,R/2))$ and for $1 < p < \infty$, by Fubini's theorem and the boundedness of the usual maximal function on $L^p(\mathbb{R}) \to L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

For $n = 2$ and $p = 1$ this is bounded $L^1([x-R, x+R]) \to L^{1,\infty}([x-R, x+R])$.

We introduce several functions

$$g_i = M_i(D^2h(\cdot)(\theta_i,\theta_i))$$

and $g = \max\{1, \max_i g_i\langle n(x), n(y)\rangle\}$ all of which are in $L^p(B(x,R/2))$. For $y \in E$ such that $g(y) < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}|h(y+t\theta_i) - h(y) - tDh(y)\cdot\theta_i| &= \left|\int_0^t\int_0^\tau D^2h(y+s\theta_i)(\theta_i,\theta_i)\, ds\, d\tau\right| \\ &\leq 4t^2\frac{1}{4t^2}\int_{-t}^{t}\int_{-t}^{t}|D^2h(y+s\theta_i)|\, ds\, d\tau \\ &\leq 4t^2 g_i(y) \leq 4t^2 g(y).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_i \in T_y\Omega$ be such that $\Pi_{T_x}(\tau_i) = \theta_i$. Let $\chi_{i,\lambda}$ be the intersection of the curve

$$t \mapsto y + t\theta_i - tn(x)Dh(y)\cdot\theta_i + t^2 g(y)n(x),$$

and the plane

$$L_{y,\lambda} = \{x \mid \langle x, n(y)\rangle = \langle y, n(y)\rangle - \lambda,$$

which we know to be in Ω for t sufficiently small, and $L_{y,\lambda}$. Then we know that

$$|\eta_{i,\lambda}| = |\chi_{i,\lambda} + n(y)\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda}\tau_i/\sqrt{g(y)\langle n(x), n(y)\rangle}| \leq \lambda$$

It follows that the convex hull of the points $\chi_{i,\lambda}$ has Hausdorff $n-1$ measure given by

$$\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{g(y)}}^{n-1} 4(\tau_1 + \sqrt{g(y)/\lambda}\eta_{1,\lambda}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\tau_{n-1} + \sqrt{g(y)/\lambda}\eta_{n-1}).$$

Assume $\lambda < 1/(10C(n)g(y)) = \lambda_0(y)$, then this is greater than

$$\kappa \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{g(y)}}^{n-1} \qquad (9/10)$$

where $\tau_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge t_{n-1} = \kappa$. Applying Lemma 11

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(y - \lambda n(y))\lambda^{(n+1)/2} \\
&\quad \leq \frac{2\lambda^{(n+1)/2}}{\kappa} \left(\int_0^{\min\{\lambda, \lambda_0(y)\}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda'}{g(y)}}^{n-1} d\lambda' + C(\Omega) \min\{\lambda - \lambda_0(y), 0\} \frac{1}{c(n) g(y)^{n-1}} \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \leq C(n, \Omega, R) \sqrt{g(y)}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

if $\lambda < \lambda_0(y)$ or $\lambda_0(y) = \lambda_0$ and otherwise

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(n, \Omega, R) \frac{\lambda^{(n+1)/2}}{C(\Omega) \frac{\lambda_0(y)}{g(y)^{n-1}} + C(\Omega)(\lambda - \lambda_0(y)) \frac{1}{g(y)^{n-1} C(n) 10}} \\
&\leq C(n, \Omega, R) g(y)^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying this inequality and Lemma 10 yields the result.

For $n = 2$ we do not have the error terms, and get

$$\sigma(y + \lambda n(y))\lambda^{(n+1)/2} \leq \sqrt{g(y)}.$$

But if $g \in L^{1,\infty}$ then $\sqrt{g}$ is integrable (on a bounded set). □

Remark 20. It is worth commenting that although the centro-projective area would appear to require that Ω is $W^{2,p}$ for $p \geq (n-1)/2$, we can only get an integrable bound in $L^1(\partial\Omega)$ for $p \geq n - 1$. This is to be expected as this is the natural exponent for a boundary of dimension $n - 1$. But still the question arises whether this can be reduced. It is possible that there is a higher integrability result for convex boundaries i.e. $p \geq n - 1 - \varepsilon$ implies $p \geq n - 1$.

Proof of Theorem 16: We can apply Lemmata 17 and 19 along with the dominated convergence theorem. This allows us follow equation (26) in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [BBV10], and bring the limit into the integral, yielding the centro-projective area.

4. Entropy of Hilbert geometries whose boundaries are parametrized by the cumulative function of a Ahlfors regular map

4.1. Entropy of the Cantor-Lebesgue domains.

Theorem 21. *For every $p \in]2, \infty[$ the Cantor Lebesgue domain Ω_p has entropy*

$$\mathrm{ent}(\Omega_p) = \frac{2\alpha}{1 + \alpha}$$

where $\alpha = \log 2 / \log p$

Remark 22. By theorem 2.14 in [BBV10], as a corollary of the co-area inequalities, the authors show that the entropy is also given by the asymptotic exponential growth rate of volume of *spheres*. Hence, from now on, we focus of computing the length of the circles of radius R and the exponential growth of this length will give the entropy. In fact by Lemma 3 in [CV04] we can consider the length of the scaled set $\lambda\partial\Omega$, which we do.

Lemma 23. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a convex domain containing the origin. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\lambda\Omega) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda \int_{S^1} \frac{1}{|\lambda\gamma(\theta) - F^+(\theta,\lambda)|} + \frac{1}{|\lambda\gamma(\theta) - F^-(\theta,\lambda)|} |d\gamma|\, d\theta.$$

where $F^+(\theta,\lambda)$ is the point on $\partial\Omega$ given by the intersection of the tangent line at $\lambda\gamma(\theta)$ of $\lambda\partial\Omega$ and $\partial\Omega$, in the positive orientation, and F^- is that in the negative orientation.

Proof: This is just a direct calculation of the length with the Hilbert norm of the curve

$$\theta \mapsto \lambda\gamma(\theta),$$

which is given by

$$\int_{S^1} \|\dot\gamma\|_\theta \, d\theta = \int_{S^1} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda\gamma(\theta) - F^+(\theta,\lambda)|} + \frac{1}{|\lambda\gamma(\theta) - F^-(\theta,\lambda)|}\right) \lambda|\dot\gamma(\theta)|\, d\theta.$$

□

We will assume that our map $S^1 \to \partial\Omega$ has unit speed. Let $\varphi(\theta)$ denote the generating function:

$$\gamma(\theta) = \int_0^\theta e^{i\varphi(s)} ds.$$

Lemma 24. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a convex domain whose boundary generating function is given by the cumulative distribution function of an Ahlfors α-regular measure for $0 < \alpha < 1$, and let C denote the support of this measure, then there is a number c such that*

$$c^{-1}\frac{1+o(1)}{\mathrm{dist}_+(\theta,C) + (1-\eta)^{1/(\alpha+1)}} \leq |F_{\theta,\eta}(+) - \eta\gamma(\theta)|^{-1} \leq c\frac{1+o(1)}{\mathrm{dist}_+(\theta,C) + (1-\eta)^{1/(\alpha+1)}}$$

as $\eta \to 1$.

Proof: For each θ we want to find the point forward for which $\langle \eta\gamma(\theta), n(\gamma(\theta))\rangle = \langle \gamma(\theta+h), n(\gamma(\theta))\rangle$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (\eta-1)\gamma(\theta), n(\gamma(\theta))\rangle &= \langle [\gamma(\theta+h) - \gamma(\theta)], n(\gamma(\theta))\rangle \\ &= \langle \int_0^h e^{i(\varphi(\theta+t)-\varphi(\theta))}\, dt, n(\gamma(\theta)\rangle \\ &= \int_0^h \sin(\varphi(\theta+t) - \varphi(\theta))\, dt.\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the taylor approximation to sin to yield

$$\int_0^h [\varphi(\theta+t) - \varphi(\theta)] - \frac{1}{6}[\varphi(\theta+t) - \varphi(\theta)]^3\, dt \leq \int_0^h \sin(\varphi(\theta+t) - \varphi(\theta))\, dt \leq \int_0^h \varphi(\theta+t) - \varphi(\theta)\, dt.$$

The generating function φ is the cumulative distribution function of an Ahlfors α-regular measure on C, where $\alpha = \log 2/\log p$. Consequently, there is a number $c_1 \geq 0$ such that $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus C$, and $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ we have

$$c_1^{-1} \max\{t - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C), 0\}^\alpha \leq \varphi(\theta + t) - \varphi(\theta) \leq c_1 \max\{t - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C), 0\}^\alpha$$

Now we apply this to the previous bound to get for $h < \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)$ that the integral is zero, and for $h \geq \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^h \sin(\varphi(\theta + t) - \varphi(\theta))\, dt \\
&\geqslant \int_0^{h - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)} c_1^{-1} s^\alpha - s^{3\alpha}/6\, ds \\
&\geqslant c_1^{-1}([h - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)]^{\alpha+1}/(\alpha + 1) - [h - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)]^{3\alpha+1}/(3\alpha + 1)) \\
&\geqslant c_2([h - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)]^{\alpha+1},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^h \sin(\varphi(\theta + t) - \varphi(\theta))\, dt \leq c_1[h - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)]^{\alpha+1}/(\alpha + 1).$$

Now if we can bound h such that

$$\int_0^h \sin(\varphi(\theta + t) - \varphi(\theta))\, dt = (1 - \eta)\gamma(\theta) \cdot \nu(\theta),$$

but by virtue of convexity the scalar product to the normal to $\partial\Omega$ with the position is bounded above and below away from 0: there is a number c_3 such that for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$

$$c_3^{-1} \leq \gamma(\theta) \cdot \nu(\theta) \leq c_3.$$

Consequently

$$(1 - \eta)c_3^{-1} \leq c_1[h - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)]^{\alpha+1}/(\alpha + 1),$$

and so

$$(1 - \eta)^{1/(\alpha+1)} c_4 + \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C) \leq h,$$

and

$$[h - \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C)]^{\alpha+1} c_2 \leq c_3(1 - \eta),$$

so consequently

$$\operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C) + c_4(1 - \eta)^{1/\alpha+1} \leq h \leq \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C) + c_5(1 - \eta)^{1/(\alpha+1)}.$$

Now we must estimate

$$|\eta\gamma(\theta) - \gamma(\theta + h)| = (1 - \eta)\gamma \cdot T(\theta) + \int_0^h \cos(\varphi(\theta + t) - \varphi(\theta))\, dt$$

We can estimate $1/2 \leq \cos(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$ and we bound $\gamma(\theta) \cdot T(\theta) \leq 1$. Then we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
1/2[\operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C) + c_4(1 - \eta)^{1/(\alpha+1)}] - (1 - \eta) \\
&\leq |\eta\gamma(\theta) - \gamma(\theta + h)| \\
&\leq \operatorname{dist}_+(\theta, C) + c_5(1 - \eta)^{1/(\alpha+1)}) + (1 - \eta)
\end{aligned}$$

If we invert everything we get the result. □

We note that a similar result holds for $F_{\theta,\eta}(-)$.

Proof of theorem 21: Now we take advantage of the fact that the standard measure on a cantor set is Ahlfors α regular for $\alpha = \log(2)/\log(p)$. We consider a division of the complement of C into a union of intervals of progressively smaller sets. At generation N the size of the sets is $(p-2)p^{-N}$, and there are 2^N of them Now we note that for an antipodal set $B(0,R)$ is given by $\tanh(R) = 1 - e^{-2R} + O(e^{-4R})$, so setting $\eta = \tanh(R)$, yields

$$\frac{1}{|\tanh(R)\gamma(\theta) - \gamma(\theta+h)|} \sim \frac{1+o(1)}{\mathrm{dist}_+(\theta, C) + e^{-2R/(\alpha+1)}}.$$

Because all terms uniformly constant in R will converge to 1 as we take the $1/R$ power, it is suffiction to consider

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\mathrm{dist}_+(\theta, C) + e^{-2R/(\alpha+1)}}.$$

Now we can break this sum into the generations to yield

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\mathrm{dist}_+(\theta, C) + e^{-2R/(\alpha+1)}}\, dx = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 2^N \int_0^{(p-2)p^{-N}} \frac{1}{x + e^{-2R/(\alpha+1)}}\, dx$$

$$= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 2^N \log\left(1 + \frac{(p-2)e^{\frac{2R}{\alpha+1}}}{p^N}\right).$$

In order to proceed we will have to estimate the sum by splitting it in two. Let

$$\beta = \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)\log p} = \frac{1}{\log 2 + \log p}.$$

We investigate separately the sum over indices N where $N < 2R\beta$ and where $N \geq 2R\beta$. Indeed

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 2^N \log\left(1 + \frac{(p-2)e^{\frac{2R}{\alpha+1}}}{p^N}\right) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 2^N \log\left(1 + (p-2)p^{2R\beta - N}\right)$$

and the two split sums will have very different asymptotic behaviour.

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 2^N \log\left(1 + (p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{N<2R\beta} 2^N \log\left(1 + (p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right) + \sum_{N\geq 2R\beta} 2^N \log\left(1 + (p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right).$$

We estimate the first term below coarsely

$$\sum_{N<2R\beta} 2^N \log\left(1 + (p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right) \geq 0$$

And from above we estimate coarsely (if $x \geqslant 1$, then $\log(1+x) \leqslant 1 + \log x$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N<2R\beta} 2^N \log\left(1+(p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right) \\
&\leqslant \sum_{N<2R\beta} 2^N \left(1+(2R\beta-N)\log p + \log(p-2)\right) \\
&\leqslant \sum_{N<2R\beta} 2^N \left(1+2R\beta\log p + \log(p-2)\right) \\
&\leqslant 2^{\lfloor 2R\beta\rfloor+1}\left(1+2R\beta\log p+\log(p-2)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now for the second term, we first use the approximation $\log(1+x) \leq x$ for any x. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{N\geqslant 2R\beta} 2^N \log\left(1+(p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right) &\leq p^{2R\beta}(p-2)\sum_{N\geqslant 2R\beta}(2/p)^N \\
&\leq (p-2)(2/p)^{2R\beta}p^{2R\beta} \\
&= (p-2)2^{2R\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound, we want to use and $x/2 \leq \log(1+x)$ for $|x|<1$. If $2R\beta$ is an integer, the first term of the sum is $\log(1+(p-2))$ and $p-2$ is not necessarily smaller than 1. To avoid this situation we split again the sum into two parts and take off the two first terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{N\geqslant 2R\beta} 2^N \log\left(1+(p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right) &\geqslant \sum_{N\geqslant 2R\beta+2} 2^N \log\left(1+(p-2)p^{2R\beta-N}\right) \\
&\geqslant \frac{(p-2)p^{2R\beta}}{2}\sum_{N\geqslant 2R\beta+2}\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^N \\
&= \frac{(p-2)p^{2R\beta}}{2}\frac{p}{p-2}\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{2R\beta+3} \\
&= 2^{2R\beta+2}p^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have a two-side bound:

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\partial B(0,R)) \leq (p-2)2^{2R\beta} + 2^{\lfloor 2R\beta\rfloor+1}\left(1+2R\beta\log p+\log(p-2)\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\partial B(0,R)) \geq 2^{2R\beta+2}p^{-2}.$$

If we take the R^{th} root and let R go to infinity we arrive at

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\mathcal{H}_1(\partial B(0,R)) = 2^{2\beta} = e^{2\log 2/(\log 2+\log p)} = e^{2\alpha/(\alpha+1).}$$

Taking the logarithm yields the result. □

4.2. **Comparison of Ahlfors regular sets.** Now let κ be our Ahlfors s regular curvature measure supported on an Ahlfors regular set E. In order to use the previous part we need to relate E to the standard Cantor set. The following statement is precisely the relation we need (and it could be of independent interest):

Theorem 25 (ordered s-regular embeddings)**.** *Let E be a totally ordered s regular set. Let F be a totally ordered t regular set. Assume one of the two regular sets is a standard Cantor set. If $s<t$ there is an order preserving bi-Lipschitz embedding*

$\varphi : E \to F$ whose constants depend only on the diameters of E and F, their Ahlfors constants, and t and s.

Remark 26. This statement is an extension of the theorem 3.3 in [MS09]. Here we add the fact that we can choose the bi-Lipschitz map φ to be order-preserving. Note that our proof uses the result of [MS09].

Starting with the map $f : E \to F$ given by theorem 3.3 of [MS09], we proceed as follows

(1) We first construct binary trees for which E and F are topological boundaries of the trees. The construction is inspired by a paper of F. Choucroun [Cho94] but is not quite the same.
(2) We choose an (incomplete) metric on the tree so that the associated metric (completion) on the boundary is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the original metric on the Cantor set, given as a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. The fact that every Cantor set is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the metric boundary of some *binary* tree seems new.
(3) We then extend the given map f by a bi-Lipschitz map $\tilde{f}$ between the trees.
(4) We finally compose f with an automorphism of the standard tree to reorder the boundary.

Step one : construction of the binary tree. Let E be an ordered Ahlfors α-regular set. As being closed, we can write the complement of E as a disjoint union of open intervals:

$$E^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i.$$

On the $I_i = [a_i, b_i]$, we give the order such that $b_i - a_i \geqslant b_{i+1} - a_{i+1}$ and, if $b_i - a_i = b_{i+1} - a_{i+1}$, then $b_i < a_{i+1}$.

Set $A_0 = [0, 1]$ and, for $k > 0$,

$$A_k = [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} I_j.$$

We note that A_k is a disjoint untion of $k + 1$ closed intervals, denoted $v_0^k, \cdots, v_k^k$. Let us consider

$$V_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \left\{v_0^k, \cdots, v_k^k\right\}.$$

We build a finite tree for which the vertices are the elements of V_n. We now describe the set of edges. We first remark that the union defining V_n is not disjoint: passing from A_k to A_{k+1} creates a hole in one of the v_i^k. So precisely there are in $\left\{v_0^{k+1}, \cdots, v_{k+1}^{k+1}\right\}$ exactly 2 new elements and there is precisely one element in $\left\{v_0^k, \cdots, v_k^k\right\}$ not in $\{v_0^{k+1}, \cdots, v_{k+1}^{k+1}\}$. Hence there exist $\alpha_k \in \left\{v_0^k, \cdots, v_k^k\right\}$ and $\beta_k^1, \beta_k^2 \in \left\{v_0^{k+1}, \cdots, v_{k+1}^{k+1}\right\}$ such that

$$\left\{v_0^{k+1}, \cdots, v_{k+1}^{k+1}\right\} = \left\{v_0^k, \cdots, v_k^k\right\} \setminus \{\alpha_k\} \cup \left\{\beta_k^1, \beta_k^2\right\}$$

and the union is disjoint. For each k, we place two edges: $\left[\alpha_k, \beta_k^1\right]$ and $\left[\alpha_k, \beta_k^2\right]$. We get a finite binary tree T_n. Finally we consider the $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n$. If needed we put the Cantor set in the notation and denote the tree by T_E.

Claim Every vertex has exactly two descendants.

Proof: From the construction, it is obvious that each vertex has either 0 or 2 descendants. Suppose there is some there exists v_i^k with no descendant. Then $v_i^k \subset E$ and E is not totally discontinuous; a contradiction. □

Let us now endow the binary tree T with a metric. Given a vertices v_i^k and v_m^l, we define the distance between them to be the size $|v|$ of the smallest closed interval which contains both of them. This metric is incomplete, the vertices accumulate on the boundary which is at finite distance (one) to the basepoint v_0^0. We denote by d_T the metric on ∂T induced by the completion of the metric described above. Step two : comparison of metrics on the regular set.

Theorem 27. *The tree metric d_T and Euclidean metric d are bi-Lipschitz equivalent on E.*

Proof: The proof will proceed in several parts. First we will show that Ahflors regularity implies that for a given vertex v in our tree with descendents u and w, it follows that there is a number $K > 0$ which depends only on s and the proportionality constants of s-regularity, such that

$$\max\{|u|, |w|, |v| - |u| - |w|\} \leq K \min\{|u|, |w|, |v| - |u| - |w|\}.$$

This requires judicious application of the Ahlfors regularity, and a Vitali covering argument.

First we will prove that every closed interval u contains a ball of radius $|u|/4$. First suppose the open interval I contained in u is bigger than $|u|/3$. It follows that I is smaller or equal in size to any other open interval, so the open intervals on either side of u are bigger in length than $|u|/3$. Now $u = v \cup I \cup w$. Without loss of generality assume that $|v| \geq |w|$. Then let $v = [a, b]$ Then $B(b, |u|/3) \cap E \subset u \cap E$. Now suppose $|I| < |u|/3$ then without loss of generality we may assume $|v| \geq |u|/3$, and so if $v = [a, b]$ then $B(b, |u|/3) \cap E \subset u \cap E$.

As a result we can deduce that

$$c|u|^s/3^s \leq \kappa(u) \leq C|u|^s.$$

Now consider some closed interval $u = [a, b]$ with I_k on its left and I_l on its right. Without loss of generality assume that $|I_l| \leq |I_k|$. We have two cases, either $|I_l| \leq |u|$, or $|I_l| > |u|$. If we have the latter then $B(b, |I_k|) \cap E = u \cap E$, and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \kappa(B(b, |I_k|)) - \kappa(u) \\ &\geq c|I_k|^s - C|u|^s, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{|I_k|}{|u|} \leq \left(\frac{C}{c}\right)^{1/s}.$$

Next consider some interval $u = v \cap I \cap w$. Then $\kappa(u) = \kappa(v) + \kappa(w)$ and

$$\kappa(u) \geq c(|v| + |I| + |w|)^s/3^s,$$

while

$$\kappa(u) = \kappa(v) + \kappa(w) \leq C(|v|^s + |w|^s) \leq C'(|v| + |w|)^s.$$

From this it follows that

$$|I| \leq K(|v| + |w|),$$

where K dependts only on s c and C.

Finally cover u in balls of radius $|I|$ and centered in $E \cap u$. This is possible, because $|I|$ is the largest gap in $u \cap E$. First we have a finite sub-cover. We can then take a Vitali cover to yield balls $B(x_i, |I|)$, $i = 1, \dots n$, of disjoint balls, such that $\{B(x_i, 3|I|) : i = 1, \dots n\}$ covers u. Consequently $n \geq |u|/6|I|$. But then

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(u) &\geq \sum_i \kappa(B(x_i, |I|)) \\ &\geq nc|I|^s/3^s \\ &\geq 3^{-(1+s)}c|u||I|^{s-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\kappa(u) \leq C|u|^s.$$

From which it follows that

$$\frac{|I|}{|u|} \geq K,$$

where K and C' depend only on s,c and C.

It is now clear how we can show that d and d_T are bi-Lipschitz equivalent. Indeed, let $x, y \in E$ and let v be the smallest closed interval containing both ot x and y and w be the biggest open interval contained in $[x, y]$. We have

$$\frac{1}{K} d_T(x, y) \leqslant |w| \leqslant |x - y| \leqslant |v| = d_T(x, y).$$

□

Step three : extension of f. We are guaranteed a bi-Lipschitz map from E to C_t for any standard Cantor set $t > s$ by Theorem 3.3 in [MS09]. Let f denote this function. Let $\tilde{f} : T_E \to T_{C_t}$. be given by

$$v \mapsto \cap\{u \in T_t : u \supset f(v)\}.$$

Let $I \subset \tilde{f}(v)$ be the largest open interval (with possible semantic order) contained in $\tilde{f}(v)$. By construction there are an $x, y \in v \cap E$ such that $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are separated by I, so

$$|I| \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|,$$

where C is the bi-Lipschitz constant of the map. But there is a number K which depends only on t such that $|\tilde{f}(v)| \leq K|I|$. Now given two vertices u' and u'' in T_E. Let J denote the maximal open set separating them. Then $|J| \leq d_T(u', u'') \leq |v| \leq K|J|$. Now we know that there is an $x \in u'$ and $y \in u''$ such that $d(x, y) \geq |J|$, and hence $C|f(x) - f(y)| \geq |x - y| \geq |J|$. Consequently

$$d_T(\tilde{f}(u'), \tilde{f}(u'')) \geq |f(x) - f(y)| \geq C^{-1}|J| \geq C^{-1}K^{-1}d_T(u', u'').$$

For the reverse inequality, note that we have a K' depending only on t, such that $\tilde{f}(u')$ and $\tilde{f}(u'')$ are separated by a set of size at least $(K')^{-1}d_T(\tilde{f}(u'), \tilde{f}(u''))$. Consequently there is an $x \in u'$ and a y in u'' such that

$$\frac{1}{K'} d_T(\tilde{f}(u'), \tilde{f}(u'')) \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y| \leq C d_T(u', u'').$$

Step four : reordering. Subsequently, the most useful property of the standard Cantor set is it's self similarity. In particular for the standard Cantor tree T_t with the ultrametric d_T, if we flip any branch, it is an isometry of the tree T_t, as is any uniform limit of isometries. Because our tree is binary we can identify each vertex with a word composed of the letters l and r, for left and right. Now given u and v which are not descendents we say that u is to the left of v if their minimal closed cover is w is such that u is in the left branch from w and v is in the right branch. We proceed inductively on word length. Suppose $\phi_n \circ \tilde{f}$ preserves the order of the first vertices with word length less than or equal to n. For each vertex w of word length n consider its two descendents u and v such that u is to the left of v. If $\phi_n \circ \tilde{f}(u)$ is to the left of $\phi_n \circ \tilde{f}(v)$ proceed if not then postcompose ϕ_n with the branch flip, which flips the tree at the common vertex of $\phi_n \circ \tilde{f}(u)$ and $\phi_n \circ \tilde{f}(v)$. This flip leaves every other descendent pair unchanged because this common vertex is a descendent of $\phi_n \circ \tilde{f}(w)$. Let ϕ_{n+1} be the end result.

In this way we construct a sequence of isometries which converges uniformly to a limit isometry ϕ. Then $\hat{f} = \phi \circ \tilde{f}$ is a bi-Lipschitz map which preserves the semantic order. In fact we get the following

Corollary 28. *Let E and E' be s and t regular subsets of $[0,1]$. Suppose $\{0,1\} \subset E$. There is a map $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ which is bi-Lipschitz on its image, and such that $f(E) \subset E'$.*

Proof: We take a standard Cantor set C with $s < \tau < t$. Then there is an order preserving bi-Lipschitz map $f : E \to C$ and $g : C \to E'$. First we extend f to $[0,1]$. We do this by mapping each open interval I on the complement to the image of it's endpoints and scaling linearly. Because the map f is bi-Lipschitz and order preserving, so is the extended map. By composing the extensions we get the desired map. □

4.3. **Entropy of a domain with curvature an Ahlfors regular measure.** Let C_t denote some standard Cantor set.

Given this partition we can express the complement of the support of our measure as a union of open intervals

$$E^c = \bigcup_j I_j,$$

where $I_j =]a_j, b_j[$, with $a_j, b_j \in E$. Now we have a standard t dimensional cantor set C_t, and our bi-Lipschitz order preserving map $\varphi : E \to C_t$.

As in the case of standard Cantor set the length of the Finslerian circles of radius R are given by have a series of integrals of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(\partial B(0,R)) &\sim \sum_j \int_{a_j}^{b_j} \frac{1}{(x-b_j) + e^{-2R/(\alpha+1)}}\, dx \\ &= \sum_j \int_0^{[b_j - a_j]} \frac{1}{x + e^{-2R/(\alpha+1)}}\, dx. \\ &= \sum_j \log((b_j - a_j)e^{2R/(\alpha+1)} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

But $|b_j - a_j| \leq C|\varphi(b_j) - \varphi(a_j)|$. Consequently we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\log(e^{2R/(\alpha+1)}|b_j - a_j| + 1) &\leq \log(e^{2R/(\alpha+1)}C|\varphi(b_j) - \varphi(a_j)| + 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{J\subset]\varphi(a_j),\varphi(b_j)[} \log(C|J|e^{2R/(\alpha+1)} + 1)\end{aligned}$$

where I are the open intervals whose disjoint union makes the complement of the t dimensional cantor set. This last inequality follows from the elementary identity

$$\log(1 + \lambda + \mu) \leqslant \log(1 + \lambda) + \log(1 + \mu)$$

whenever λ and μ are positive. Because our map is order preserving, we can just estimate from above (there will be no open intervals appearing more than once in the image)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{J\subset E^c} \log(1 + e^{2R/(\alpha+1)}|J|) &\leq \sum_{J\subset C_t^c} \log(1 + Ce^{2R/(\alpha+1)}|J|) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|J|=\frac{p-2}{p^n}} \log(1 + C(p-2)e^{2R/(\alpha+1)}p^{-n}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \log(1 + C(p-2)e^{2R/(\alpha+1)}p^{-n})\end{aligned}$$

Here p is the real number such that $\frac{\log 2}{\log p} = t = \dim C_t$. Then we proceed as before. Setting $\beta = \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)\log p}$, we break the sum into two parts where either $n < 2R\beta$ or $n \geqslant 2R\beta$ and use the techniques for bounding above:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{J\subset C_t^c} \log(1 + Ce^{2R/(\alpha+1)}|J|) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{n<2R\beta} 2^n \left(1 + \log\left(C(p-2)p^{2R\beta-n}\right)\right) + \sum_{n\geqslant 2R\beta} 2^n C(p-2)p^{2R\beta-n}\end{aligned}$$

using for the first term the identity $\log(1 + x) \leqslant 1 + \log x$ and for the second $\log(1 + x) \leqslant x$. The first term ($n < 2R\beta$) is again

$$\sum_{n<2R\beta} 2^n + 2^n \log(C(p-2)) + 2^n(2R\beta - n)\log p.$$

which we can coarsely bound by

$$2^{\lfloor 2R\beta\rfloor+1} 2R\beta \log p.$$

For the second term ($n \geqslant 2R\beta$), we have

$$\sum_{n\leqslant 2R\beta} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^n C(p-2)p^{2R\beta} \leqslant \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\lfloor 2R\beta\rfloor+1} C(p-2)p^{2R\beta} \leqslant 2^{\lfloor 2R\beta\rfloor+1} C(p-2)$$

Consolidating terms we get that the integral is bounded by

$$2^{\lfloor 2R\beta\rfloor+1} 2R\beta \log p + 2^{\lfloor 2R\beta\rfloor+1} C(p-2)$$

where $t = \log 2/\log p$ and K is independent of R. Taking the power of $1/R$, the logarithm and letting R go to infinity yields the entropy bound

$$\mathrm{Ent}(E) \leq 2t/(\alpha + 1).$$

But t is arbitrarily close to α. We obtain the lower bound in the very same way : we use this time an order preserving map $\psi : C_s \to E$ and produce a lower bound with the same techniques as for the standard Cantor set.

References

[Ale39] A. D. Alexandroff. Almost everywhere existence of the second differential of a convex function and some properties of convex surfaces connected with it. *Leningrad State Univ. Annals [Uchenye Zapiski] Math. Ser.*, 6:3–35, 1939.

[ÁPT04] J. C. Álvarez Paiva and A. C. Thompson. Volumes on normed and Finsler spaces. In *A sampler of Riemann-Finsler geometry*, volume 50 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 1–48. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[BBV10] Gautier Berck, Andreas Bernig, and Constantin Vernicos. Volume entropy of Hilbert geometries. *Pacific J. Math.*, 245(2):201–225, 2010.

[BCG95] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot. Entropies et rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(5):731–799, 1995.

[BCP96] Gabriele Bianchi, Andrea Colesanti, and Carlo Pucci. On the second differentiability of convex surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 60(1):39–48, 1996.

[Cho94] Francis Choucroun. Arbres, espaces ultramétriques et bases de structure uniforme. *Geom. Dedicata*, 53(1):69–74, 1994.

[Cra09] Mickaël Crampon. Entropies of strictly convex projective manifolds. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 3(4):511–547, 2009.

[CV04] Bruno Colbois and Patrick Verovic. Hilbert geometry for strictly convex domains. *Geom. Dedicata*, 105:29–42, 2004.

[CVV11] Bruno Colbois, Constantin Vernicos, and Patrick Verovic. Hilbert geometry for convex polygonal domains. *J. Geom.*, 100(1-2):37–64, 2011.

[Eva98] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[HKM06] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio. *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Dover Publications Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006. Unabridged republication of the 1993 original.

[IM01] Tadeusz Iwaniec and Gaven Martin. *Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.

[Kay67] David C. Kay. The ptolemaic inequality in Hilbert geometries. *Pacific J. Math.*, 21:293–301, 1967.

[Lew71] Lawrence G. Lewis. Quasiconformal mappings and Royden algebras in space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 158:481–492, 1971.

[MS09] Pertti Mattila and Pirjo Saaranen. Ahlfors-David regular sets and bilipschitz maps. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 34(2):487–502, 2009.

[PT14] Athanase Papadopoulos and Marc Troyanov, editors. *Handbook of Hilbert geometry*, volume 22 of *IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2014.

[Tho15] N. Tholozan. Entropy of Hilbert metrics and length spectrum of Hitchin representations in $\mathrm{PSL}(3,\mathbb{R})$. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015.

[Ver12] C. Vernicos. Approximability of convex bodies and volume entropy in Hilbert geometry. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2012.